Polar wind flow from wake observations

Anders.Eriksson@irfu.se 060518

Special thanks to: Erik Engwall, Jack Quinn, Iannis Dandouras, Goetz Paschmann, Roy Torbert, Klaus Torkar, Arne Pedersen, ...

#### Wake and polar wind studies

- Eriksson et al., Electric field measurements on Cluster: comparing the double-probe and electron drift techniques. Ann. Geophysicae, 24, 275-289 (2006)
- Engwall & Eriksson, Double-probe measurements in cold tenuous space plasma flows. *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.,* in press (2006)
- Engwall et al., Wake formation behind positively charged spacecraft in flowing tenuous plasmas. *Phys. Plasmas*, in press (2006)
- Engwall et al., Low-energy (order 10 eV) ion flow in the magnetotail lobes inferred from spacecraft wake observations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L06110 (2006)
- Engwall, Cold magnetospheric plasma flows: properties and interaction with spacecraft. Licentiate thesis, Uppsala University, March 2006



Wake shows polar winc

#### Often observed in tail lobes/polar caps





# Simulations verify concept...



Wake shows polar wind

#### and reproduce wake spin signature. Wake shows polar wind Comparison of signatures. 1000 r 800 Potential difference [mV] between the two ends of the booms EFW data 600 400 200 Dotted = data -200Solid = simulations -400

-600800 -10001802700 90 360Angle [deg] of the boom relative to the plasma flow direction.

## Simple model relates wake to flow

- Possible to invert to get parallel flow speed if wake is known
- EFW-EDI comparison gives wake...
- so we can now observe ions invisible to particle instruments!

$$\mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{w}} = g\mathbf{u}_{\perp} + gu_{\parallel} \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B}$$

$$\begin{cases} g = \frac{\left(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{E}^{w}\right)_{z}}{E_{z}^{\text{EDI}}} = \frac{B_{x}E_{y}^{w} - B_{y}E_{x}^{w}}{E_{z}^{\text{EDI}}}\\ u_{\parallel} = \frac{B}{gB_{c}}\left(E_{c}^{w} - gu_{\perp,c}\right) \end{cases}$$

### CIS comparison verifies method



Wake shows polar wind



## Initial statistics

- SC3, 3 months (autumn 2002), ~70 000 spins
- GSE X < -5
- Red = wake > 2 mV/m, black = no wake



90

80

70

БD

30

20 10

eyex utiv %

## Flow statistics

 Comparison to Su et al., POLAR, 1998 (top right; they miss most of the fun despite PSI and all...)



(a)

-6 -3 0 Z<sub>GSM</sub> [R<sub>E</sub>] 6

3

9

12

-15 -12 -9







### Flux statistics

- Depends on Vsc density calibration
- Comparison to Akebono results (Cully et al., 2003)
- Great potential for further use!



